

# 2784

RECEIVED

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 11:46 AM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

2009 SEP 23 AM 11:44

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

-----Original Message-----

**From:** John Foester [mailto:jwfoest@consolidated.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 10:40 AM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

John Foester  
160 Marwood Rd  
Apt. 3111  
Cabot, PA 16023

# 2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 4:02 PM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
COMMISSION

2009 SEP 23 AM 7:16

RECEIVED

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sara Wingert [mailto:sara@lebanonfamilyhealth.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 4:01 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Sara Wingert  
728 W Main St # B

Palmyra, PA 17078-1512

# 2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 3:58 PM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

RECEIVED  
2009 SEP 23 AM 7:16  
INDEPENDENT REGULATION  
TANF OMISSION

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mary Polson [mailto:mepcrnp@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 3:43 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Mary Polson  
3804 Copper Kettle Rd.  
Camp Hill, PA 17011

2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 8:43 AM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE  
HARRISBURG, PA

2009 SEP 23 AM 8:49

RECEIVED

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Murray [mailto:springard@epix.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 9:01 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Linda Murray  
49 Prospect St

Mansfield, PA 16933



2784

Gelnett, Wanda B.

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 8:43 AM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

2009 SEP 23 AM 9:49  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
RECEIVED

-----Original Message-----

**From:** JoAnn Connelly [mailto:jaconnelly@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 5:38 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training.

Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

JoAnn Connelly  
3675 Oak Ln

Furlong, PA 18925-1159

#2784

RECEIVED  
4:00 SEP 24 PM 7:09  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE  
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 4:02 PM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

An email on #2784

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Jean Murin [mailto:jeanmurin@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 4:00 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Jean Murin  
3333 5th Ave

Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3109

#2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 5:00 PM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

A comment on #2784

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mary Ann Bentz [mailto:maben730@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 4:57 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

RECEIVED  
2009 SEP 24 AM 7:09  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
BOARD OF PENNSYLVANIA

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Mary Ann Bentz  
733 N. Penna Avenue  
Morrisville, PA 19067

# 2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 24, 2009 8:13 AM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

A comment on #2784

RECEIVED  
2009 SEP 24 AM 9:14  
INDEPENDENT POLITICAL  
NEWS ORGANIZATION

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Jacqueline Rucker [mailto:jrucker529@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 24, 2009 7:52 AM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I write to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so. These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Jacqueline Rucker  
PO Box 60750

Harrisburg, PA 17106-0750



#2784

**From:** Jewett, John H.  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 24, 2009 9:25 AM  
**To:** Gelnett, Wanda B.  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

RECEIVED

SEP 24 2009 10:01

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

A comment on #2784

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nadia Schafer [mailto:ns1213@messiah.edu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 8:09 PM  
**To:** Jewett, John H.  
**Subject:** Comments in Opposition to Final-Omitted Regulations #14-517

Mr. Jewett

I am writing to strongly oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or food stamps to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

I am most concerned with the following two proposals:

First, the new regulations would require families to spend any savings or funds on hand that they may have before they would qualify for a special allowance. Families sometime save up their money to pay next month's rent or utility bill or purchase school supplies for their children. This new regulation would require families to spend this money before qualifying for DPW assistance in purchasing a bus pass or other transportation costs, paying for GED testing fees, or buying textbooks for training classes. This regulation would frustrate families' attempts to improve their lives and may lead to homelessness and instability, when they should be focused most on bettering themselves through employment or training.

Second, the new regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive \$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation (including costs for car insurance.) If a family wants to leave welfare for good and obtain a job that pays enough to be self-sufficient, the head of household may need adult basic education or vocational training. Often, there are costs, such as books, associated with these classes that she must pay. If DPW restricts the amount of special allowances that a TANF or food stamp recipient can receive, she will only be able to choose lesser quality job training or none at all. And if she reaches the maximum transportation payment, she may be forced to quit a job or stop looking for one. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

Finally, I object to these regulations being submitted as "final" without the opportunity for advance public comment, with no urgent need to do so.

These regulations affect the lives of thousands of Pennsylvanians, and deserve the careful consideration that the normal public comment process affords. I urge that these regulations be withdrawn and resubmitted as proposed regulations.

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help-not less-to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. This package of regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. I urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject them.

Nadia Schafer  
Box 6298, One College Avenue  
Grantham, PA 17027